

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,332 11/08/2001		11/08/2001	Hiroyuki Kiyoku	Q66212	5542
	7590	06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE			ANDERSON, MATTHEW A		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1765	1765
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,					
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Su		09/986,332	KIYOKU ET AL.				
Office Action Sui	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew A. Anderson	1765				
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
 Failure to reply within the set or extended 	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 late of this communication. ass than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w I period for reply will, by statute, In three months after the mailing	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status							
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 25 Ma	arch 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
 Since this application is i 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance wit	h the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>208-239</u> is/are p	pending in the applicat	ion					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>221-233</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are alle							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>208-220 and 23</u>	4-239 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	ected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Examiner	· •					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08</u>	•		cted to by the Examiner.				
		rawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	-				
		on is required if the drawing(s) is o	• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□		oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	the priority documents						
		have been received in Applica					
		ty documents have been receive	ved in this National Stage				
	e International Bureau	• "					
See the attached detailed t	Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	'ed.				
AM at a second A							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	n	A) 🗆 1-1-1-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2	- (DTO 440)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/04, 11/08 			Patent Application (PTO-152)				
							

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 208-213, 215, 217-220, 235-236, 238-239 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. (WO 97/11518) in view of Takeuchi et al. (US 5,239,188) and Ohba et al. (US 5,656,832).

The examiner has used the disclosure of US 6,377,596 B1 as a translation of the PCT publication of Tanaka et al.

Tanaka et al. discloses a method for growing a low defect monocrystalline defect monocrystalline layer over a mask. The method is described as forming light emitting diodes in col. 1 lines 15-25. In Fig. 16 and in col. 28 lines 34+ the method is described. Striped openings in a insulator mask are formed on the (0001) plane of a sapphire substrate. (in col. 19 lines 65 is suggested that such stripes be formed in the direction parallel to the (11-20) A plane of a sapphire substrate. Perpendicular stripes to the (11-20) plane are also disclose in col. 11 line 55.) A GaN buffer layer is formed in the spaces between the stripes. N-type GaN is grown from the spaces laterally until it

covers the mask between them (i.e. coalesces). The growth is described as by MOVPE. The defect density obtained is disclosed in col. 32 as 10⁴ to 10⁵ defects per cm². MOVPE is described in col. 17 and 18 in which a tri-methyl gallium is reacted with ammonia to form the GaN. The substrate is disclosed as sapphire (Al2O3) or SiC. The insulator making up the mask was disclosed in col. 5 lines 65+ and col. 6 lines 1-5 as amorphous material such as SiO2, Si3N4, PSG, SION, or Ta2O3. The relative size of the spaces is seen in Fig. 16 B to less than that of the mask layers. Multiple iterations of growth and mask layer formation are suggested in Figs. 16a-16c and 18a-18c. Further, and active layer (6) is grown on the second nitride semiconductor (5) as seen in Fig. 18C.

Tanaka et al. does not explicitly suggest a buffer layer or an off angled substrate as ways of reducing the defect density of the GaN epitaxial layer obtained.

Takeuchi et al. discloses a gallium nitride base semiconductor device. In Fig. 4A,4B,4C, and 4D is shown a epitaxial overgrowth of GaN on a Si substrate using a AlN mask layer. In Col. 3 lines 35-50, it is disclosed that the nitride semiconductor will also deposit on a thin AlN buffer layer on a sapphire substrate. In Fig. 3 is shown a n-GaN single crystal. In Fig. 4C is seen the growth of GaN from the sides of the recesses in the overlying AlN layer.

Ohba et al. discloses methods of growing nitride epitaxial layers with the use of buffer layers. Ohba et al. discloses (col. 6 lines 11-23) that growing a nitride layer on a buffer layer with the use of an inclined substrate with an angle of inclination of from 0.5

degrees to 10 degrees promotes higher quality growth and the ability to facilitate lateral crystal growth.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine the disclosures of the references cited to yield a method of forming a gallium nitride semiconductor as disclosed because the use of nitride buffer layers (i.e. a nitride underlayer) on a sapphire substrate and inclined or off-angled substrates to reduce defects promote lateral growth as well as use of mask layers for epitaxial overgrowth and recombination where known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to form a nitride semiconductor as disclosed in Claims 208, 211 because the use of nitride buffer layers (i.e. a nitride underlayer) on a sapphire substrate, the use of off-angled substrates to reduce defects, and the use of mask layers for epitaxial overgrowth and recombination where known in the art to produce nitride semiconductors with reduced defect densities.

In respect to claims 208, 209, 210, 217-220, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to use multiple iterations of mask layers, position the second mask over the windows in the first mask, and to grow the first and second nitride semiconductors laterally on the growth masks to eventually recombine because Tanaka et al. suggests this in Figs . 16 and 18.

In respect to claim 212, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to grow light emitting diodes by this method because Tanaka et al. suggests this very use in col. 1 lines 15-25.

In respect to claim 213, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to include indium in the nitride semiconductor being grown because Tanaka et al. suggests this in col. 23 lines 35-50.

In respect to claim 215, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to dope the first nitride semiconductor with an n-type impurity because such a doping was known in the art (Takeuchi et al.).

In respect to claims 235, 238, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to use a C-plane (i.e. that plane perpendicular to the (0001) direction) of a sapphire substrate because Ohba et al. discloses the C-plane of an off-angled sapphire substrate as useful for improving GaN epitaxial quality. (col. 6 lines 11-23)

In respect to claims 236,239, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to use a C-plane (i.e. that plane perpendicular to the (0001) direction) of a sapphire substrate with an off angle equal to or less than 1 degree because Ohba et al. discloses the off-set angle of the C-plane sapphire substrate as from 0.5 to 10 degrees. 0.5 degrees (less than 1 degree) is explicitly suggested.

3. Claims 214, 216 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka in view of in view of Takeuchi et al. and Ohba et al., and further in view of Tischler et al.(US 5,679,152).

Tanaka combined is disclosed above.

Tanaka combined does not explicitly suggest using a superlattice buffer layer on the nitride substrate formed or Si doping to form n-type nitrides.

Tischler et al. discloses in column 4 lines 35-50 an alternately layered nitride superlattice to reduce the dislocation defects in a GaN crystals and alloys thereof. N-type GaN is disclosed as formed from Si additions in col. 8 lines 60+.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine the superlattice of Tischler et al. with Tanaka combined because then one of ordinary skill would have expected the product crystal to have fewer defects.

In respect to claim 214, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to form a buffer layer as claimed because such would have been expected to improve the nitride semiconductor grown thereon.

In respect to claim 216, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to form n-type nitrides with Si doping because such was known in the art (i.e. by Tischler et al.).

4. Claims 234, 237 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka in view of in view of Takeuchi et al. and Ohba et al., and further in view of Harunori et al.(JP-07-201745).

Tanaka combined is disclosed above.

Tanaka combined does not explicitly that the off-angled substrate be formed stepwise.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,332 Page 7

Art Unit: 1765

Harunori et al. discloses (see abstract) using a stepwise formation of a (0001) a.k.a. the C plane as a growth surface for GaN epitaxy.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to use a step wise off-angled substrate because were known in the art to improve purity and crystallizability.

In respect to claim claims 234,237, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to use an off-angled substrate formed in a step pattern because such a pattern was known by Harunori et al; to improve purity and crystallizability for GaN epitaxy on sapphire substrates.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/25/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument that the cited references do not disclose the present invention is convincing. However, the use of off-angled C plane sapphire substrates for GaN epitaxial growth is specifically known in the art to improve lateral crystal growth quality according to Ohba et al. and, when combined with, the prior art, suggests the present invention as above.

Art Unit: 1765

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (703) 305-2667. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MAA

June 7, 2004

SUPERVISORY TO A TIMER